THE ARGVMENT OF THE BOOKE OF WISDOM.

As wel of the auctor, as of the auctoritie of this booke, there have been divers opinions among the lerned. But in proceffe of time, the first is probably discussed, the other is clerly decided by the Church. For concerning the former doubt, Manie ancient Fathers alleage fentences of this Booke, as the fayinges of Salomon. Namely S. Ireneus (apud Eufebium, lib. 5. c. 8. Hift.) S. Clement of Alexandria, li. 5. & 6. Stromat. Origen. ho. 12. in Leuit. & li. 8. in Epift. S. Athanafius, in Synopfi. & Orat. 2. cont. Arrian. S. Bafil, li. 5. cont. Eunomianos S. Epiphanius, herefi. 67. S. Gregorie Nazianzen, lib. de Fide. S. Gregorie Niffen, in Testimonijs ex vet. Testam. cap. de Nativitiate ex Virgine. S. Chrifoft. hom. 33. & 34. in Mat. S. Cyril of Alexandria, li. 10. c. 4. Alfo S. Cyprian li. de exhortat. Martyrum. c. 12. & li. 3. c. 59. ad Quirin. & li. de Mortalitate. S. Hilarie in Pfal. 117. S. Ambrofe, li. de Salomone. c. 1. and divers others suppose Salomon to be auctor of this booke. To whom likewife fome of them afcribe the booke of Ecclefiafticus. But S. Ierom Præftione in libros Salomonis teftifieth that fome ancient writers affirme this booke to be written by Philo a Iew, and the other by Iefus the fonne of Sirach. And S. Augustin very plainly (li. 17. c. 20. de ciuit.) faith, cuftom prevailed, that the bookes of Wifdom, & Ecclefiafticus, for fome fimilitude of fpeach are called Salomons: but the more lerned affuredly judge that they are not his. What then shal we fay, feing fo manie other ancient lerned Doctors cite them as his. The answer is And fufficiently infinuated by S. Augustin, that thefe two bookes being like vnto the other three, which are Salomons, were also called his. VVherto we may adde a like example in the two first bookes of Kinges. which are called the bookes of Samuel, though he writte not all the first, nor anie part of the second. Moreover al thefe five are called by one general title Sapiential

Both the auctor & auctoritie of this booke were fometimes doubtful.

The fame doubt is of Ecclefiafticus.

It is most probable, that Philo a Iew writte this booke collecting manie fentences of Salomons.

Argum. lib. Reg.

Fiue Sapiential bookes of the old testament.

Chap. 7. 8. 9.

Who not only imitated Salomon, but also compiled their bookes, for most part of Salomons sentences; conserved til their times by tradition, or in separated scrolles of papers; yea they so vtter some sentences in his person, as if himself had written them. As touching the auctoritie of these two bookes, and some others, it is evident that the sewes refuse them. And therfore manie ancient Fathers writing against them, spared sometimes to vrge such bookes, as they knew would be rejected. Especially having abundant testimonies of other holie Scriptures, for deciding matters of faith against them. Euen as our Sauiour himself proved the Refurrection of the dead against the Sadduces, out of the bookes of Moyses, which they consessed for Canonical Scrip-

ture, denying other partes, where the fame point might otherwife haue bene more euidently shewed. And fo S. Ierom in refpect of the Iewes faide these bookes were not Canonical. Neuertheles he did often alleage testimonies of them, as of other diuine Scriptures: sometimes with this parenthesis [si cui tanem placet librum recipere] in cap. 8. & 12. Zachariæ other times, especially in his last writinges, absolutly without such restrictions, as in cap. 1. & 56, Isaiæ & in 18. Ieremiæ. Where

bookes. In fo much that the Church readeth in the Sa-

cred Office before al Epiftles, taken out of anie of these

fiue bookes, not Lectio Prouerbiorum, or Ecclefiaftæ, &c. but ftil Lectio libri Sapientiæ. The folution therfore is very probable, that this booke of wifdom was written by Philo Iudeus, not he that liued after Chrift, but an other of the fame name, nere two hundred yeares before. And Ecclefiafticus by Iefus the fonne of Sirach.

The Iewes denie these bookes to be Canonical.

Mat. 22. Exo. 3.

he profeffeth to alleage none but Canonical Scripture. As for all the other ancient fathers here aboue mentioned, afcribing this booke to Salomon, and manie others cited by Doctor Iodocus Coccius (To. 1. Thefauri. li. 6. art. 9.) they make no doubt at al, but that it is Canonical Scripture, as appeareth by their expreffe termes, Diuine Scripture, Diuine word, Sacred letters, Prophetical faying, the Holie Ghoft faith, & the like. Finally as wel ancient General counfels, namely that of

They are iudged by very manie ancient fathers, and afterwards defined by the Church to be Canonical Scriptures.

Et li. 17. c. 20. Ciu. Charthage, an. D. 419. with others, as the later of Florence, and Trent haue declared this booke to be Canonical. And that conformably to the most ancient, and lerned Fathers, as S. Augustin, not only judgeth himself, but also plainly testifieth (li. de Prædestinat. Sanct. c. 14.) faying: The fentence of the booke of wifdom ought not to be rejected (by certain inclining to Pelagianisme) which hath bene so long publiquely read in the Church of Chrift, and received of al Chriftians, Byshops, and others, even to the last of the Laitie, Penitents, and Catecumes (cum veneratione diuina auctoritatis) with veneration of divine auctoritie. Which also the excellent writers, next to the Apostles times, alleaging for witnes (nihil fe adhibere, nife diuinum testimonium crediderunt) thought they alleaged nothing but divine teftimonie.

The fumme and contents of this booke is an Inftruction, and Exhortation to Kinges and al Magiftrates, to minifter iuftice in the commonwealth, teaching al fortes of vertues vnder the general names of Iuftice & Wifdom, with frequent Prophecies of Chrifts Coming, Paffion, Refurrection, & other Chriftian Myfteries. Al may be commodioufly diuided into three partes. In the fix firft chapters, the auctor admonisheth al Superiors to loue and exercife iuftice and wifdom. In the next three, he teacheth that Wifdom procedeth only from God, & is procured by prayer & good life. In the other tenne chapters, he sheweth the excellent effects, and vtilitie of Wifdom and Iuftice.

The contents.

Diuided into three parts.