THE ARGVMENT OF THE BOOKE OF IVDITH.

Epift. 111. 115. in Prefat. in Iudith.

S. Ierom fometime fuppofed this booke, not to be canonical, but afterwarde finding that the Councel of Nice accounted it in the number of holie Scriptures. he fo eftemed it; and therupon not only tranflated it into Latin, out of the Chaldee tongue, wherin it was first written, but also as occasion required, alleaged the fame as diuine Scripture, and fufficient to conuince matters of faith in controuerfie. For otherwife his oppofing the authoritie of the Nicen Councel, should prove nothing at all against the lewes, feing they also acknowledge this booke amongft Agiographa (or holie writtes) but leffe fitte (fay they) to ftreingthen those thinges which come into contention. Whereby is clere that S. Ierom thenceforth held it for divine Scripture. As further appeareth in his comentaries in Ifai. 14. more expression *Epift.* ad *Principiam*, he counted it in ranke with other Scriptures, wherof none doubteth, faying: Ruth, Efther, Iudith, were of fo great renoume, that they gaue the names to facred volumes. And in this Preface doubted not to fay: that the rewarder of Iudithes chaftitie (God himfelf) gaue her for imitation not only to wemen, but alfo to men: gaue her fuch vertue that she ouerthrew him, whom none could ouercome, and conquered the inuincible. Alfo before the Councel, Origen in c. 14. Iudith. Tertullian de Monogamia c. vlt. And diuers whom S. Hilarie citeth, and different hot from them, Prologo in Pfalmos, held this booke for Canonical. Manie more writers likwife about the time of the fame Councel, and after fo account it. Prudentius in Phycomachia Prudicitiæ & libidinis: Chromatius in c. 6. Mat. Paulinus in Natali. 10. S. Chryfoftom hom. 10. in Math. S. Ambrofe li. 3. Offic. c. 13. Epift. 82. et li. de viduis. S. Auguftin (or fome other good author) writte two fermons of Iudith 228. 229. Caffiodorus diuini lect. c. 6. Fulgentius Epift. 2. de ftatu viduarum. Ferrandus Carthaginenfis as Regiũ de re militati. Iunilius Africanus li. 1. de partibus divine legis. Sulpitius in hiftoria.

S. Ierom for the authoritie of the councel of Nice, held this booke to be canonical, which before he did not.

Other Doctors both before and after the councel of Nice accounted this booke canonical.

S. Beda de fex ætatibus. Alredus writing the life of Edward our king. More are not neceffarie to reafonable men. Concerning the time, and author, it femeth moft probable that thefe thinges happened when Manaffes pened. king of Iuda was either in prifon in Babylon, or newly reftored to his kingdom, who as it femeth permitted the gouerment to the high Prieft Eliachim (Chap. 4.) otherwife called Ioachim. (ch. 15.) Who alfo writte this booke, as Philos Chronologie li. 2. reporteth. From which time they had no warres til the reigne of Ioachaz, about 80. yeares, conformable to the long peace mentioned. chap. 16. v. 30. In fumme we have here, not a poetical Comedie (as Martin Luther shameth not to cal it, in Sympofiates, c. 29. and in his German Preface of Iudith, but a facred Hiftorie (as al aforementioned eftemed it, and the Iewes confeffe) of a most valiant Matrons fact, delivering the people of God from perfecution of a cruel Tyrant. The first three chapters shew the occafion of this danger: the next four defcribe the difficulties & diffree theref: other feuen with part of the 15. how Iudith delivered them from it. In the reft Iudith is much prayfed, and she with the whole people praife God.

2. Para. 33.

VVhen this hiftorie hap-

And by whom it was written.

The cotentes.

Diuided into foure partes.