## Chapter 05

He exhorteth Priefts to feed their flockes, only for Gods fake and reward of heaven, without al lordlines: 5. the laie to obey: al to be humble one towards another: 8. to be conftant in the Catho. faith, confidering it is not man, but that lion the Diuel that perfecuteth them, 9. as he doth the whole Church also, & that God wil after a while make them fecure in heaven.

ἐπισκοποῦντες

Prou. 3. *Iac.* 4.

Iac. 4. Pfa. 54. Mat. 6, 25.

he Seniours therfore that are among you, I befeech, myfelf a fellow-Senior with them & a witneffe of the Paffions of Chrift, who am also partaker of that glorie which is to be reuealed in time to come: <sup>2</sup> feed the flock of God which is among you prouiding not by conftraint, but willingly according to God: a)neither for filthie lucre fake, but voluntarily: <sup>3</sup> neither as \*ouer-ruling \*the Clergie, but made examples of the flocke from the hart. <sup>4</sup> And when the Prince of paftours fhal appeare, you fhal receive the incorruptible •crowne of glorie. <sup>5</sup> In like manner ye yong men be fubiect to the Se-

niours. And doe ve al infinuate humilitie one to another. because God refifteth the proud, and to the humble he giveth grace. <sup>6</sup> Be ye humbled therfore vnder the mightie hand of God, that he may exalt you in the time of vifitation: 7 cafting al your carefulnes vpon him, becaufe he hath care of you. 8 Be fober and watch: becaufe your aduerfarie the Diuel as a roaring lion goeth about, feeking whom he may deuoure. 9 Whom refift ye, ftrong in faith: knowing that the felf-fame affliction is made to that your Fraternitie which is in the world. <sup>10</sup> But the God of al grace, which hath called vs vnto his eternal glorie in Chrift IESVS, he wil perfit you hauing fuffered a litle, and confirme, and ftablish you. 11 To him be glorie and empire for euer and euer. Amen.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Defire of lucre, or to exercise holy functions for gaine, is a filthy fault in the Clergie, and therfore much to be auoided.

12 By Syluanus, a faithful Brother to you, as I thinke, I have briefely written: befeeching & teftifying that this is the true grace of God, wherein you ftand.

13 The Church faluteth you, ⁴that is in Babylon, coelect: and Marke my fonne. 
14 Salute one another in a holy kiffe. Grace be to al you which are in Chrift IESVS. Amen.

Ro. 16, 16. 1. Cor. 16, 20. 2. Cor. 13, 12.

## Annotations

1 Seniours.) Though the Latin, Senior, be not appropriated to holy Order by vfe of fpeach, neither in the Latin nor in our language: yet it is plaine that the Greek word Presbyter, which the Apoftle here vfeth, is here alfo (as commonly in other places of the new Teftament) a word for Ecclefiaftical office, and not of age, and is as much to fay as Prieft or Bishop. For the Apoftle himfelf being of that Order, fpeaketh (as by his words it is plaine) to fuch as had charge of foules, faying, Feed the flock of God which is among you. Becaufe we follow the vulgar translation, we fay Seniours, and Seniour: whereas otherwife we might and should fay according to the Greek, The Priefts therfore I befeech, my felf a fellow Prieft with them. So doth S. Hierom read (Prefbyteros comprefbyter) and expound ep. 85. So translateth Erafmus, and Beza himfelf.

Senior in the vulgar translation is often Priest or Bishop. See Act. 15.

κατακυριεύοντες

Mat. 20. v. 25.

3 Ouer-ruling.) Not fuperiority, preeminence, foueraignty, or rule on the one fide, nor obedience, fubiection, and inferiority on the other fide, be forbidden in the Clergie: but tyrannie, pride, and ambitious domination be forbidden; and humility, meeknes, moderation, are commended in Ecclefiaftical Officers: The Greek word here of rule or ouer-ruling, being the fame that our Sauiour vfeth in the Ghofpel of the tyrannical rule of fecular Heathen Princes, faying to his Apoftles, that it shal not be fo among them: according as here the Prince of the Apoftles teacheth his Brethren the Ecclefiaftical Rulers.

Heret. translation.

Not Superioritie but tyrānie

and lordlines is

forbidde in the

Clergie.

3 The Clergie.) Some of the English new translations turne it corruptely, *Parishes*: others, *heritages*: both to auoid the most knowen, true, and common word in al Christian languages, to wit, *Clergie*, a word, by vse of al antiquity, & agreably to the holy Scriptures, made proper to the Spiritualty or Clergie. Though in another more vulgar acception it may agree to al Christ's chosen heritage, as wel of lay people as Priests. Which the Protestants had rather follow; because they wil haue no difference between the laity and the Clergie. But the holy Fathers farre otherwise euen from the beginning. Whereof see S. Cyprian *ep. 4. 5. 6. &c.* And S. Hierom *ep. 2. to Nepitianus c. 5.* where he interpreteth this

The name of Clergie and Clerke.

word. Therfore (faith he) Clericus that is a Clergie man, which ferueth the Church of Chrift, let him first interpret his name, & the fignification of the name being declared, let him endeauour to be that which he is called. If  $\chi\lambda\tilde{\eta}\rho\varsigma(Clerus)$  in Greek, be called in Latin, Sors, therfore are they called Clerici, that is, Clergie men, because they are of the lot of our Lord, or because our Lord himself is the lot or portion of Clergie men, &c.

κληρονομίακληρονομήσεις Which calling no doubt was taken out of the holy Scriptures, Numer. 18. & Deuter. 18. where God is called the inheritance, lot, and portion of the Priefts and Leuites: and now when me be made of the Clergie, they fay, Dominus pars hæreditatis mea, that is, Our Lord is the portion of mine inheritance: but fpecially out of the new Testament, Act. 1, 21. 25. and 8, 21. Where the lot or office of the Ecclesiaftical ministerie is called by this word  $\varkappa\lambda\eta\rho\sigma\varsigma$ , Clerus. See in Venerable Bede the causes why this holy state being seuered by name from the Laity, doth weare also a crowne on their head for distinction Lib. 5. hist. Angl. cap. 22.

Priefts crownes.

4 Crowne of glorie.) As life euerlafting shal be the reward of all the iuft, for the Preachers and Paftours that doe wel, for their doing shal haue that reward in a more excellent degree, expreffed here by these words, Crowne of glorie, according to the saying of Daniel c. 12. They that sleep in the dust of the earth, shal awake, one fort to life euerlasting, others to euerlasting rebuke. But such as be learned shal shine as the brightness of the simment: and such as instruct many to iustice, shal be as starres, during all eternitie.

The heauenly crowne of Doctours, and Preach-

13 That is in Babylon.) The Protestants shew themselues here (as in al places where any controuerfie is, or that maketh against them) to be most vnhonest and partial handlers of God's word. The ancient Fathers, namely S. Herom in Catalogo de Scriptoribus Eccleftiafticie, verbo Marcus: Eufebius li. 2, c. 14. hift. Oecumenius vpon this place, and many moe agree, that Rome is meant by the word Babylon, here also, as in the 16. and 17. of the Apocalypfe: faying plainely, that S. Peter wrote this Epiftle at Rome, which is called Babylon for the refemblance it had to Babylon that great citie in Chaldea (where the Iewes were captiues) for magnificence, Monarchie, refort and confusion of al peoples and tongues, and for that it was before Chrift and long after, the feat of al Ethnike fuperfitition & Idolatrie, & the flaughter-house of the Apostles & other Christian men, the Heathen Emperours then keeping their cheefe refidence there. See S. Leo Ser. 1. in nat. Petri & Pauli.

S. Peter writeth from Babylon, that is Rome.

Why Rome was called Babylon.

This being most plaine, and consonant to that which followeth of S. Marke, whom all the Ecclesiaftical histories agree to have been Peters scholer at Rome, and that he there wrote his Ghospel: yet our Aduersaries fearing hereby the sequele of Peters or the Popes supremacie at Rome, deny that euer he was there, or that this Epistle was written there, or that Babylon doth here signifie

The Protestats wil haue Babylon to fignifie Rome in other places but not here.

Rome: but they fay that Peter wrote his Epiftle at Babylon in Chaldea, though they neuer read either in Scriptures or other holy or profane hiftorie, that this Apoftle was euer in that towne. But fee their shameles partiality. Here Babylon (they fay) is not take for Rome, because it would follow that Peter was at Rome &c. but in the Apocalypfe where al euil is fpoke of Babylo, there they wil haue it fignifie nothing els but Rome, & the Romane Church alfo, not (as the Fathers interpret it) the temporal ftate of the Heathen Empire there. So doe they follow, in euery word no other thing but the aduantage of their owne herefie. See the Annotation vpon the last of the Romanes v. 16. and 17. of the Apocalypse v. 5. And as for their wrangling vpon the supputation of the time of his going thither, and the number of years that he was there, & the diuerfitie that feemeth to be in the Ecclefiaftical Writers concerning the fame, read B. Fisher & others that fubftantially answer al fuch cauils. And if fuch contentious reasoning might take place, we should hardly believe the principal things recorded either in Ecclefiaftical hiftories, or in the Scriptures themselues. Concerning the time of Christs flying into Ægypt, of the comming of the Sages to adore him, yea of the yeares of his age, & time of his death, al ancient Writers doe not agree. And concerning the day of his laft fupper and inftitution of the Holy Sacrament, there is diuerfitie of opinions. Shal we therfore inferre that he neuer died, and that the other things neuer were? Can the Heretikes accord at the hiftories that feeme euen in holy Scripture to haue contradiction? Can they tel vs certainly, when Dauid first came to Saul and the like? Doubt they whether the world was euer created, because the count of the years is divers? Doe they not beleeue that Paradife euer was, becaufe no man knoweth where it is: and fuch other things infinit to rehearfe? Which when they were done, were plaine and knowen things in the world: and now for vs to cal them to an account after fo many yeares, Ages, and worlds, is but forhiftication and plaine infidelitie. And this Sect of the Protestants standing only vpon destruction, and negatives, & dealing with our religion euen as Iulian, Porphyrie, and Lucian did, it is an easie thing for them to bestow their time in picking of quarels.

The Proteftats wrangle about the time of Peters being at Rome.

Many things most true (euen in the Scriptures) are not agreed vpon concerning the time.