Chapter 2

He telleth forth the ftorie begun in the laft chapter, and how he reprehended Peter, 15. and then fpecially vrgeth the enfample of the Chriftian Iewes, who fought vnto Chrift for iuftification, and that by warrant also of their Law it-felf, as also because otherwise Chrift's death had been needles.

hen after fourteen yeares I went vp againe to Hierufalem with Barnabas, taking Titus alfo with me. ² And I went vp according to reuelation: and conferred with them the Ghospel which I preach among the Gentils, but apart with them that feemed to be fome-thing, left perhaps in vaine I should runne or had runne. ³ But neither Titus which was with me, whereas he was a Gentil, was compelled to be circumcifed: 4 but because of the false Brethren craftily brought in, which craftily came in to espie our libertie that we have in Chrift IESVS, that they might bring vs into feruitude. ⁵ To whom we yealded not fubiection no not for an houre, that the truth of the Ghofpel may remaine with you. ⁶ But of the that feemed to be fome-thing (what they were fome-time, it is nothing to me. God accepteth not the person of man) for to me, they that feemed to be formething, *added nothing. ⁷ But contrariewife when they had feen, that to me was committed the Ghospel of the apprepare, as to Peter of the circumcifion (8 for he that wrought in Peter to the Apostleship of circumcision, wrought in me also among the Gentils) ⁹ and when they had knowen the grace that was given me, Iames and Cephas and Iohn, which feemed to be pillars, *gaue to me and Barnabas the right hands of focietie: that we vnto the Gentils, & they vnto the circumcifion: 10 only that we flould be mindful of the poore: the which fame thing also I was careful to doe.

Deu. 10, 17.

^a See the marginal Annotation Rom. 2. v. 25.

κατὰ πρόσωπον

11 And when Cephas was come to Antioche, I refifted him a) in face, because he was reprehensible. 12 For before that certaine came from Iames, he did eate with the Gentils: but when they were come, he withdrew and separated himself, fearing them that were of the circumcision. 13 And to his simulation consented the rest of the Iewes, so that Barnabas also was led of them into that simulation. 14 But when I saw that they walked not rightly to the veritie of the Ghospel, I said to Cephas before them al: If thou being a Iew, liuest Gentil-like and not Iudaically, how doest thou compel the Gentils to Iudaize?

Ro. 3, 19. 20.

¹⁵ We are by nature Iewes, and not of the Gentils, finners. ¹⁶ But knowing that man is not inftified by the workes b) of the Law, but by the faith of IESVS Chrift; we also believe in Chrift Iesus, that we may be instified by the faith of Chrift, and not by the workes of the Law: for the which caufe, by the workes of the Law no flesh fhal be inftified. ¹⁷ But if feeking to be inftified in Chrift, our felues also be found finners; is Chrift them a Minister of sinne? God forbid. 18 For if I build the fame things againe which I have deftroied, I make my felf a preuaricatour. ¹⁹ For I by the Law, am dead to the Law, that I may live to God: with Chrift I am nailed to the croffe. ²⁰ And I liue, now not I; but Chrift liueth in me. And that that I liue now in the flesh, I liue in the faith of the Sonne of God, who loued me, and delivered himfelf for me. 21 I caft not away the grace of God. For if iuftice be by the Law, then Chrift died in vaine.

^a That is, in prefence, before them al, as Beza himfelf expoundeth it. Yet the English Bezites to the more difgracing of S. Peter, translate, to his face, No. Teftam. 1580.

b By this & by the difcourfe of this whole epiftle, you may perceiue, that when iuftification is attributed to faith, the workes of Charitie be not excluded, but the workes of Moyfes law: that is, the ceremonies, Sacrifices, and Sacraments therof principally, and confequently al workes done merely by nature & free-wil, without the faith, grace, fpirit, and aid of Chrift.

Annotations

2 Conferred with them.) Though S. Paul were taught his Ghospel of God and not of man, and had an extraordinarie calling by Chrift himfelf, yet by reuelation he was fent to Hierufalem to conferre the faid Ghofpel which he preached, with his elders the ordinarie Apostles and Rulers of the Church, to put both his vocation and doctrine to their trail and approbation, and to iovne in office, teaching, and focietie or communion with them. For there is no extraordinarie or miraculous vocation, that can feuer or feparate the person so called, in doctrine or fellowship of Christian life and religion, from the ordinarie knowen focietie of God's people and Priefts. Therfore whofoeuer he be (vpon what pretence foeuer) that wil not have his calling and doctrine tried by the ordinarie Gouerners of God's Church, or difficult to goe vp to the principal place of our religion, to conferre with Peter and other pillars of the Church, it is euident that he is a falfe Teacher, a Schifmatike, and an Heretike. By which rule you may trie al your new Teachers of Luther's or Caluin's fchoole: who neuer did nor euer durft put their preaching to fuch conference or trial of holy Councel or Bishops, as they ought to doe, and would doe, if it were of God, as S. Paules was.

2 In vaine.) Though S. Paul doubted not of the truth of the Ghofpel which he preached, knowing it to be of the holy Ghoft; yet because other men could not, nor would not acknowledge so much, til it were allowed by fuch as were without al exception knowen to be Apostles & to have the spirit of truth, to discerne whether the vocation, fpirit, & Ghofpel of Paul were of God, he knew he should otherwife without conference with them, have loft his labour, both for the time paft and to come. He had not had (faith S. Hierom) fecuritie of preaching the Ghofpel, if it had not been approved by Peter's fentence & the reft that were with him. Hiero ep. 89. c. 2. See Tertul. li. 4. cont. Marc. nu. 3. Therfore by reuelation he went to conferre with the Apostles at Hierufalem, that by them hauing his Apoftleship and Ghofpel liked and approved, he might preach with more fruit. Wherin we fee, this holy Apoftle did not as the feditious proud Heretikes doe now a-daies, which refufing al man's atteftation or approbation, wil be tried by Scriptures As also we may learne that it is no fuch abfurditie as the Aduerfaries would make it, to have Scriptures approved by the Churches testimonie: feeing the Ghospel which S. Paul preached (being of as much certaintie and of the fame Holy Ghoft that the Scriptures be) was to be put in conference and examination of the Apostles, without all derogation to the truth, dignitie, or certaintie of the fame. And the cauilling of Heretikes, that we make fubiect God's Oracles to man's cenfure, and the Scriptures to have no more force then the Church is content to grant vnto them, is vaine and falfe. For, to be witnes or to give euidence or atteftation S. Paul conferreth with S. Peter and the reft, for trail of his doctrine.

The heretikes fubmit their doctrine to no trail of Bishops or Councel.

The approbation of S. Paules doctrine by Peter and the reft, was very requifit.

No abfurditie that the Scriptures be approued by the Churches teftimonie.

The Church maketh not Canonical Scripture, but declareth that it is fo. that the preaching or writing of fuch, is true and of the Holy Ghoft, is not to make it true: no more then the Gold-fmith or touch-ftone that trie and difcerne which is true gold, make it good gold; but they give euidence to man that fo it is. And therfore that disputation also, whether the Scripture or the Church be of greater authoritie, is fuperfluous: either giving testimonie to the other, and both affured by the Holy Ghoft from al errour: the Church yet being before the Scriptures, the fpouse of Christ, and proper dwelling, temple, or fubiect of God, and his graces: for the which Church the Scriptures were, and not the Church for the Scriptures. In which Church there is iudicial authoritie by office and iurifdiction to determine of doubtful questions touching the fense of the Scriptures and other controuers in religion, & to punish disobedient persons. Of which iudicial power the Scriptures be not capable; as neither the truths and determinations of the fame can be fo euident to men, nor fo agreable and fit for every particular refolution, as diverfitie of times and perfons requireth. Certaine is the truth, and great is the authoritie of both: but in fuch divers kinds, as they can not be wel compared together. The controuerfie is much like as if a man touching the ruling of a cafe in law or giving fentence in a matter of question, should aske, whether the judge, or the euidence of the parties, be of more authoritie or credit. Which were as friuolous a difpute, as it were a difordered part for any mã to fay, he would be tried by no other iudge but by his owne writings or euidees. With fuch triflers and feditious persons haue we to doe now a-daies in diuinitie, as were intolerable in any prophane science or facultie in the world.

The Scripture & Church cõpared together for antiquitie, authoritie, &c.

6 Added nothing.) The Ghofpel and preaching of S. Paul was wholy of God, and therfore though it were put to the Churches probatiõ, as gold is to the touch-ftone; yet being found in al points pure, nothing could be altered or amended therin by the Apoftles. Eue fo the Scriptures which are indeed wholy of the Holy Ghofts enditing, being put to the Churches trial, are found, proued, and teftified vnto the world to be fuch, & not made true, altered, or amended by the fame. Without which atteftation of the Church, the holy Scriptures in themfelues were alwaies true before: but not fo knowen to be, to al Chriftians, nor they fo bound to take them. And that is the meaning of the famous fentence of S. Augustin Cont. ep. fund. c. 5. which troubleth the Heretikes fo much: I would not beleeue the Ghofpel (faith he) vnles the authoritie of the Church moued me.

The Scriptures alwaies true in themfelues, are fo knowen to be by the Church.

7 To Peter of the circumcifion.) We may not thinke, as the Heretikes deceitfully teach, that the charge of the Apoftles was fo diffincted, that none could preach or exercife iurifdiction but in those feueral places or towards those peoples or Prouinces only, wherunto by God's appointment or their owne lot or election, they were specially designed. For, every Apostle might by Christes commission (Mat. 28. Goe, and teach al Nations) vse

The Apoftles commission general through the world, & yet peculiar to certaine Prouinces.

Mat. 15. Ro. 15.

Act. 10. & 15. v. 7.

Calu. li. 4. c. 6. nu. 15. Inftit.

particular regard and care of Prouinces, and for peace and order fake, fome were appointed to one countrie, and fome to another: as, of the other Apostles we see in the Ecclesiastical histories, and for S. Peter and S. Paul, it is plaine by this place & other, that to the as to the two cheefe & most renowmed Apostles, the Church of al Nations was given, as deuided into two parts, that is, Iewes and Gentils: the first and principal being S. Peter's lot, that herein also he might refemble our Sauiour, who was fent namely to the loft sheepe of Ifrael, and was properly the Minister of the Circumcifion: the fecond being S. Paules, whom Chrift chofe fpecially to preach to the Gentils: Not fo for all that, that either he was limited to the Gentils only, (whom the Actes of the Apoftles report, in euery place, first to have entred into the Synagogues and preached Chrift to the Iewes, as he wrote also to the Hebrewes and euer had special regard and honour to them:) or Peter so bound to the Iewes only, that he could not meddle with the Gentils: feeing he was the man chosen of God, by whom the Gentils should first beleeue, who first baptized them, and first gaue order concerning Therfore the treacherie of Caluin is intolerable, that vpon this diffinction of the Apostles charge, would have the fimple fuppose, that S. Peter could not be Bishop of Rome (so might he barre S. Iohn from Ephefus alfo) nor deale among the Gentils, as a thing againft God's ordinance and the appointment between him and S. Paul: as though thereby the one had bound himfelf to the other, not to preach or meddle within his fellowes compaffe. And which is further most feditious, he exhorteth all men to keep faft the forefaid compact, and rather to have respect to S. Paules Apostleship, then to S. Peters: as though the preaching, authoritie, and Apostleship of both were not a-like true, and al of one holy Spirit, whether they preached to Iewes or Gentils, as both did preach vnto both peoples, as is already proued, and at length, partly by the daily decay of the Iewish ftate and there incredulitie, and partly for that in Christianitie the distinction of Iew and Gentil ceafed after a feafon, both went to the cheefe citie of the Gentils, and there founded the Church common to the Hebrewes and al Nations, Peter first, and Paul afterward. And therfore Ter-

al fpiritual function through the whole world. Yet for the more

9 Gaue the right hands of focietie.) There is and alwaies ought to be, a common fellowship and fraternitie of al Paftours and Preachers of the Church. Into which focietie whofoeuer entreth not, but ftandeth in Schifme and feparation from Peter and the cheefe Apoftolike Paftours, what pretence foeuer he hath, or whence foeuer he chalengeth authoritie, he is a wolfe, and no true Paftour. Which vnion and communion together was fo neceffarie

tul. faith, de Præfcript. nu. 14. O happie Church, to which the Apostles powred out al doctrin with their bloud! Where Peter fuffereth like to our Lord's Passion, where Paul is crowned with

Iohn (Baptift's) death.

Iewes and Gentils fpecially committed to the two principal Apoftles.

Neither Peter only of the Iewes, nor Paul Apostle of the Gentils only.

Caluin's foolish reafon that Peter was not B. of Rome, & his derogation from Peters Apoftleship.

The Church founded at Rome by S. Peter and S. Paul.

Al Catholike Preachers and Paftours muft communicate with Peter and his Succeffours. euen in S. Paules cafe, that, notwithftanding his special calling of God, yet the Holy Ghoft caufed him to goe vp to his elder Apoftles to be received into their fellowship or brotherhood. For it is to be noted, that SS. Peter, Iames, and Iohn were not fent to S. Paul, to ioyne with him or to be tried for their doctrine and calling, by him: but cotrariewife he was fent to the as to the cheefe & knowen ordinarie Apostles. They therfore gaue Paul their hands, that is to fay, took him into their focietie, and not he them. And S. Hierom's rule concerning this, shal be found true to the worlds end, fpeaking of S. Peter's Succeffour: He that gathereth not with thee, fcattereth. Ep. 57. And in another place for the fame cause he calleth Rome, tutifsimum Communionis portum, the most safe and fure hauen of comunion or focietie. Ep. 16. c. 4. wheras the Heretikes by this also would proue that Peter had no preeminence aboue Paul being his fellow Apostle, it is ridiculous. As though al of one fellowship or brotherhood be alwaies equal; or as though there were not order and government, fuperioritie and inferioritie, in euery focietie wel appointed. And they might perceive by this whole paffage, that Peter was the special, and in more fingular fort the Apoftle of the Iewes, though Iames and Iohn were alfo: as S. Paul is alfo called in more fingular fort the Apostle and Doctour of the Gentils then S. Barnabas, and yet they were both a-like taken here into this focietie, as they were both at once and a-like fegregated into this minifterie, and ordered together. Act. 13. It is a poore reason then to say or thinke, S. Peter not to be aboue S. Barnabas neither, because of this focietie and fellowship vnto which he was received together with S. Paul.

11 I refifted him.) Wicked Porphyrie (as S. Hierom writeth) chargeth S. Paul of enuie & malapert boldnes, and S. Peter of errour Præm. Comment. in Galat. Euen fo the like impious fonnes of Cham, for this, and for other things, gladly charge S. Peter, as though he had committed the greatest crimes in the world. For, it is the propertie of Heretikes and il men, to be glad to fee the Saints reprehended and their faults discouered, as we may learne in the writings of S. Augustin against Faustus the Manichee, who gathered out all the acts of the holy Patriarches, that might feeme to the People to be worthy blame. Whom the faid holy Doctour defendeth at large againft him: as both he, and before him S. Cyprian, find here vpon this Apoftles reprehension, much matter of praising both their vertues: S. Paules great zeale, & S. Peters wonderful humilitie: that the one in the caufe of God would not fpare his Superiour, and that the other, in that excellent dignitie, would not take it in il part, nor by allegation of his Supremacie difdaine or refuse to be controlled by his Iunior. Which of the two they count the greater grace and more to be imitated. For neither Peter (faith S. Cyprian) whom our Lord chofe the first, and vpon whom he built the Church, when Paul disputed with him of circumcifion, chalenged infolently or arrogantly tooke any thing to

The Heretikes ridiculous argument againft Peter's preeminence.

The Heretikes malitioufly derogate from S. Peter.

Paules reprehenfion of Peter teacheth vs the zeale of the one, and humilitie of the other.

himfelf, faying that he had the Primacie, and therfore the later Disciples ought rather to obey him. ep. 71. ad Quintum nu. 2. And S. Augustin ep. 19. c. 2. in fine. That (faith he) which was done of Paul profitably by the libertie of charitie, the fame Peter tooke in good part by holy and benigne, Godlines of humilitie, and fo he gaue vnto posteritie a more rare and holy example, if at any time perhaps they did amiffe, to be content to be corrected of their Iuniors, then Paul, to be bold and confident: yea the inferiours to refift their betters for defending the truth of the Ghospel, brotherly charitie alwaies preferued. By which notable fpeaches of the Doctours we may also see how friuolously the Heretikes argue hereupon, that S. Peter could not be Superiour to S. Paul, being fo reprehended of him: wheras the Fathers make it an example to the Superiours, to beare with humilitie the correption or controlement even of their inferiours. Namely by this example S. Augustin (li. 2. de Bapt. c. 1.) excellently declareth, that the B. Martyr S. Cyprian, who walked awry touching the rebaptizing of them that were chriftned of Heretikes, could not, nor would not haue been offended to be admonished & reformed in that point by his fellowes or inferiours, much leffe by a whole Councel. We have learned, faith he, that Peter the Apostle, in whom the Primacie of the Apostles by excellent grace is so preeminent, when he did otherwife concerning circumcifion then the truth required. was corrected of Paul the later Apoftle. I thinke (without any reproch vnto him) Cyprian the Bishop may be compared to Peter the Apostle: howbeit I ought rather to feare left I be iniurious to Peter. For who knoweth not that the principalitie of Apostleship is to be preferred before any dignitie of Bishop whatfoeuer? But if the grace of the Chaires or Sees differ, yet the glorie of the Martyrs is one. And who is fo dull that can not fee, that the inferiour though not by office and iurifdiction, yet by the law of brotherly loue and fraternal correption, may reprehend his fuperiour? Did euer any man wonder that a good Prieft or any vertuous perfon should tell the Pope, or any other great Prelate, or greatest Prince in earth, their faults? Popes may be reprehended, & are inftly admonished of their faults, & ought to take in in good part, and fo they doe & euer haue done, when it commeth of zeale & loue, as of S. Paul, Irenæus, Cyprian, Hierom, Augustin, Bernard: of Simon Magus, Nouatus, Iulian, Wiclife, Luther, Caluin, Beza, that doe it of malice, & raile no leffe at their vertues then their vices, of fuch (I fay) God's Prelates must not be taught nor corrected, though they must patiently take it, as our Sauiour did the like reproches of the malitious Iewes; and as Dauid did the malediction of Semei. 2. Reg. 1.

11 Reprehensible.) The Heretikes hereof againe inferre, that Peter the did erre in faith, and therfore the Popes may faile therin also. To which we answer, that how soeuer other Popes may erre in their private teachings or writings, where we have

It proueth nothing against Peter's superioritie, that he was reprehended.

The fuperiour may be reprehended or admonished of the inferiour.

Heretikes reprehension of Catholike Bishops is rather railing.

S. Peter's errour was not in faith, but in conuerfation or behauiour.

Luc. 22, 32.

treated before in the Annotation vpon thefe words, That thy faith faile not: it is certaine that S. Peter did not here faile in faith, nor erre in doctrine or knowledge. For it was converfationis, non prædictionis vitium, as Tertullian faith de præfcript. nu. 7. It was a default in converfation, life, or regiment, which may be committed of any man, be he never fo holy, and not in doctrine. S. Augustin and whosoever make most of it, thinke no otherwise of it. But S. Hierom and many other holy Fathers deeme it to have been no fault at al, nor any other thing then S. Paul himself did vpon the like occasion: and that this whole combat was a fet thing agreed vpon between them. It is a schoole point much debated betwixt S. Hierom and S. Augustin. ep. 9. 11. 19. apud August.

See S. Chryfoft. Theoph. &c.